11798

CIA/SC/RR-62

14 December 1953

Dissemination Authorized
Assistant Director
Office of Current Intelligence

No. Pages - 18

SHIPPING OPERATIONS ON THE NORTHERN SEA ROUTE 1952 NAVIGATION SEASON

CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM RELEASE AS SANITIZED

Office of Research and Reports

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

FOREWORD

This is the first in a series of reports arising from the continuing examination of

shipping within the Soviet Arctic. The purpose of this report is to determine the minimum amount of cargo carried on the Northern Sea Route during the 1952 navigation season.

This report

has been coordinated with appropriate offices within CIA, but not with the other IAC agencies.

contents

	,. .	7. <u>- 0</u>
Summary .	विकित्य के कि कि कि का कार्यक्रिक का कार्यक कार्यक का कुछ कर कुछ के कि कार्यक कार्यक का	્લ 1
I. Introduct II. Merchan	t Shipping	½ 2 5
2. Opera Sea 3. Opera Sea III. Naval Op	olete Crossings of the Northern Sea Route ations in the Eastern Sector of the Northern Route ations in the Western Sector of the Northern Route Route erations on the Northern Sea Route	9
W. Conclusio	Appendixes	. 12
Appendix A.	Merchant Vessels Which Traveled the Complete Length of the Northern Sea Route During the 1952 Navigation Season	15
Appendix B.	Method of Estimating Probable Minimum Cargo	17
Appendix C.	Statistics for Estimating Probable Minimum Cargo, 1952 Navigation Season	19
Appendix D.	Sources	20

CONTENTS

•

	Page	
: :		•

I.	Types and Number of Ships Operating on the Northern Sea Route, 1947-48, 1950-52 Navigation Seasons	. 6
2.	Number of Ships Operating on the Northern Sea Route by Area of Operation, 1947-48, 1950-52 Navigation Seasons.	7
3.	Complete Crossings 1952 Complete Crossings	19
4.	Eastern Sector Soviet Ships, 1952.	.20
5.	Western Sector Soviet Ships, 1952	22
ć.	Western Sector Soviet Tugs, 1952	24
7.	Western Sector Non-Soviet Bloc Ships, 1952	25
3.	Totals of Cargo Tonnages, 1952	27

CORRECTIONS

- 1. Page 1, par. 3, line 1: for 10 read 9
 - 2. Page 7, Table 2: Total for 1950 should read 160
 - 3. Tables 3, 5, 6, and 7, pp. 19, 22, 24, and 25, respectively:

 Number of Voyages means Number of Voyages Carrying Cargo

SHIPPING OPERATIONS ON THE NORTHERN SEA ROUTE

Summary

A minimum of 150 ships, including 102 cargo carriers, apparently operated on the Northern Sea Route during the 1952 navigation season, which lasted approximately from the middle of June through October. The 102 cargo carriers represent a probable minimum cargo tonnage of approximately 956,000 metric tons. It has been generally accepted for the past several years that 300,000 to 600,000 metric tons of cargo are carried annually on the Northern Sea Route. It is believed that these estimates are too low and should be revised to a minimum of approximately 1 million tons of cargo carried per year.

The number of vessels operating on the Northern Sea Route apparently has been stabilized within a range of 150 to 155 ships per navigation season, indicating that the transformation of the Northern Sea Route into a "normal shipping lane" by 1950, as outlined by the Fourth Five Year Plan (1946-50); apparently has been accomplished.

ರ್ಷರ್ಷ ಕಾರ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕರ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವರ ಮತ್ತು ಮತ್ತಿ ರತಿಕೆ ಚರಕ್ಕೆ ಗೆತ್ತಾಗ್ ಸಿಟ್ಟ್ ಸಾಟ್ ದೃಶವಾಗುತ್ತಾರು ಅತ್ಯ

The fact that only 10 of the 102 cargo vessels operating on the Northern Sea Route during 1952 traveled the entire length of the Route indicates that the USSR is using the Northern Sea Route primarily for the economic exploitation of the Arctic rather than as a through shipping lane connecting the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans.

The use of non-Soviet Bloc* ships in the Western Sector** of the Northern Sea Route appears to be increasing. In 1952, 23 non-Soviet Bloc ships were used, as compared with 10 in 1951.

^{*} For the purposes of this report, the Soviet Bloc is assumed to include the Satellites and Communist China.

^{**} In this context the term "Western Sector" refers to that part of the Northern Sea Route between Nar'yan Mar (67°40' N - 53°05' E) and Mys Chelyuskin (approximately 104° N - 78° E).

Four newly constructed river ships were transferred from a shippard in Gor'kiy (56920', N; 44900', E) via the Northern Sea Route to the Lena River, this transfer being the first occasion on which the Northern Sea Route has been used for such a purpose. This use of the Northern Sea Route relieves the Transsiberian Railroad of some of the responsibility for transporting river ships.

A group of at least 14 T-43-class minesweepers and 2 submarines apparently was transferred to the Pacific Ocean via the Northern Sea Route during the 1952 navigation season. This is a significant postwar development in Northern Sea Route naval history and illustrates the ability of the Russians to transfer, in larger groups, at least the smaller type of auxiliary naval craft via the Northern Sea Route.

Any increase in the estimate of the amount of cargo carried per season on the Northern Sea Route means an automatic increase in the estimate of the Arctic capabilities of the USSR. This increase in the estimate of capabilities might be reflected in intelligence estimates on both economic and military matters. The USSR has produced a shipping lane which can serve an expanding Arctic and which, in the event of hostilities, would be a major link in the supply chain connecting the Soviet Far North with the rest of the USSR.

I. Introduction.

"The Arctic and our northern regions have colossal wealth.
We must create a Soviet organization which can in the shortest period include this wealth in the general resources of our

The term "Eastern Sector" refers to that part of the Northern Sea Route between Mys Chelyuskin and Uelen (66°10' N - 169°50' W).

and the second of the second

socialist structure. ".1/* Thus Stalin phrased the problem of the exploitation of the Soviet Arctic. The Chief Directorate of the Northern Sea Route. Ministry of the Merchant and River Fleet** is responsible for the development of the Soviet Arctic. As part of its responsibility, the Chief Directorate operates the shipping lane which reaches across the northern coast of the USSR from Nar'yan Mar (67°40! N - 53°05' E) in the west to the Bering Strait (60°00' N - 169°00' E) in the east.

^{*} Footnote references in arabic numerals are to sources listed in Appendix D.

^{**} The Ministry of the Merchant and River Fleet was organized in March 1953 to comprise what had previously been the Chief Directorate of the Northern Sea Route, the Ministry of the Merchant Fleet, and the Ministry of the River Fleet.

A practical limitation of the above formula is that it is not always possible to determine whether or not a ship carried a full load.

The general practice of the Unief Directorate of the Northern Sea Route, however, is to load a ship as fully as possible whenever cargo is available. It has, therefore, been assumed that each ship which operated on the Eastern Sector of the Northern Sea Route during the 1952 navigation season carried a full load into the area. In addition, many ships undoubtedly engaged in coastal shipping, and some transported cargo out of the area, carrying, in each case, an amount of cargo impossible to estimate. In the belief that this additional coastal and exported cargo will average no less than one-half load per ship operating in the Eastern Sector of the Northern Sea Route, an additional one-half of the CT has been assigned arbitrarily to each vessel. This assumption adds about 10 percent to the total cargo estimated to have been carried on the Route. In the case of the Western Sector, it also has been necessary to assume, unless otherwise indicated, that each ship traveled fully loaded. In this Sector, however, unlike the Eastern Sector, it has been possible to follow, to a limited extent, the movements of the individual vessels. Thus, to assure a minimum estimate of cargo carried, voyages were not counted whenever there was an indication that cargo was not carried. Furthermore, it was not assumed that a ship completely discharged its cargo, and then loaded fully, each time that it touched a port. For example, if a ship loaded at Arkhangel'sk, delivered its cargo (one full load) to several ports on Novaya Zemlya, and then returned empty to Arkhangel'sk, it was counted only as one voyage because only one full load of cargo was carried. In several instances there is no information available to indicate whether or not a ship carried cargo. In these cases it has been assumed that the ship traveled fully loaded because, as mentioned before, this is the general practice of the Chief Directorate of the Northern Sea Route.

^{*} All tonnage figures in this report are in metric tons.

^{**} The term "voyage" is used throughout this report in the sense of

1 56027

II. Merchant Shipping.

One hundred and fifty ships have been identified as probably operating on the Northern Sea Route during the 1952 navigation season. This number includes only those ships which have been noted operating between Nar'yan Mar in the west and Uelen in the east. Tables 1 and 2* furnish a comparison of the 1952 navigation season with those of 1947, 1948, 1950, and 1951, by type of ship and area of operation.

It appears from Table 2 that the minimum level of operations on the Northern Sea Route has been stabilized for the present at between 150 and 155 ships per navigation season, indicating the transformation of the Northern Sea Route into the "normal shipping lane" envisaged in the Fourth Five Year Plan.

Of the minimum total of 150 ships which apparently operated on the Northern Sea Route during 1952, 102 have been identified as cargo-carrying vessels. Based on the formula, 1-1/3 GT times the number of voyages, these 102 vessels represent a CT of 956,000 (see Appendix B for the method used to derive this estimate) in addition to whatever cargo may have been carried in the 5 cargo-passenger ships** and 1 refrigerator*** ship which also operated on the Northern Sea Route. It is therefore possible to estimate that a minimum total of nearly 1 million tons of cargo was carried on the Northern Sea Route during the 1952 navigation season.

"point to point" rather than in the usual sense of origin to one or more destinations and return to point of origin: that is, Arkhangel'sk to Dikson to Igarka to Arkhangel'sk is counted as three voyages rather than as one, assuming that a full load of cargo was carried between each port. If, however, a vessel should travel from Arkhangel'sk to Dikson with cargo, then travel empty from Dikson to Igarka, then load at Igarka and carry a full cargo to Arkhangel'sk, or any similar combination, it was counted as two voyages only.

* Table 1 follows on p. 6; Table 2, on p. 7.

** The CT of a cargo-passenger ship cannot be estimated, because of the variation in the size of the area allocated to passengers and the possibility that the passengers' cabins may be utilized to store cargo. All estimates must read "plus the cargo-passenger."

*** Refrigerator No. 172 crossed the Northern Sea Route from west to east during the 1952 navigation season. The GT of the ship is unknown, and therefore this ship cannot be included in an estimate of the cargo carried. All estimates must read "plus refrigerator ship."

Table 1

Types and Number of Ships Operating on the Northern Sea Route 1947-48, 1950-52 Navigation Seasons

Navigation Season 1948 5/ 1950 6/ .1951.7/ Steamships Tankers . 3 Tugs Lighters Icebreakers 12. Icebreaker-Cargo Icebreaker-Tugs Trawlers Sealers Whalers Schooners Hydrographic Dredges Gas-Driven Ships Training River Ships Naval Patrol Unidentified 38 a/ Total

The ships could have operated either on the Northern Sea Route itself or at Pacific Ocean ports such as Vladivostok, Anadyr', Provideniya, and Ugol'naya, which have bases of the Chief Directorate of the Northern Sea Route

a. The figure "38" is not included in the total because the areas of operation of those ships for 1952 are not known.

Table 20

Number of Ships Operating on the Northern Sea Route:

by Area of Operation

1947-48,: 1950-52 Navigation Seasons

	<u>: , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>				Number
		Nav	igation S	eason	:
Areas	1947 8/	1948-9/.	1950 10	1 . 1051 117	.1952°a/
	ertin Çilile		A SHILLEY	stricks.	.147
Eastern Sector	30	23	60	38	40
Western Sector	60	30	89	105	84
Complete Crossing	Bry See	2 × 2	i e i i i		
(East-West)	4			:1	* 7 %
Complete Crossing		3	0		1
(West-East)	2			र्ने लग	***
Commission C	Z	9	2	8: 1	.25-
Complete Crossing	•				
(East-West and	J			and a street	
West-East)	·				
3/4 Crossing (West-		·	L	1000	• • •
East) and Datum					
East) and Return			•		* -
(East-West)	· Property of			1.5	
	Merchanis				
Total	96	65	. / -		
			 '.	133	150

1. Complete Crossings of the Northern Sea Route.

A minimum of 26 ships -- 7 cargo, 1 tanker, 1 refrigerator, 1 hydrographic, and 16 naval vessels -- apparently traveled the entire length of the Northern Sea Route during the 1952 navigation season.

As in recent years, with the exception of 1950, the majority of the ships

.nade the passage from

west to east, where most of them joined the Soviet Pacific merchant fleet. Only one ship, the Valday (1,342 GT, cargo), crossed the Route from east to west a See Appendix A:) 4

The fact that only nine cargo-carrying ships traveled the entire length of the Route indicates that the Northern Sea-Route is being used primarily for the economic exploitation of the Arctic rather than as an ocean-to-ocean shipping lane.

The GT's of only 8 of the 9 cargo vessels are known. These GT's total 31,572, in addition to that of Refrigerator No. 172, which is unknown. Each ship made one one-way trip. A total CT of 42,000 tons (plus Refrigerator No. 172) is derived from these figures. It may be assumed, because a minimum number of ships was surveyed, that a minimum total of 42,000 tons of cargo was transported by vessels which traveled the Northern Sea Route during the 1952 shipping season.

There are few indications of the types of cargo carried by these ships as they traveled the Northern Sea Route. It may be assumed that the Sungari, a tanker, was loaded with petroleum products, and that Refrigerator No. 172 transported a shipment of perishable food products

2. Operations in the Eastern Sector of the Northern Sea Route.

Forty ships are thought to have operated in the Eastern Sector of the Northern Sea-Route during the 1952 navigation season. Included in this total are 24 rargo ships, 3 tankers,

l cargo-passenger, derefrigerator-passenger, Aicebreakers [20]. 5 trawlers, 31 sealer, and kescortwessel.

it is impossible to determine the movements of ships in the Eastern Sector of the the Route. This lack of information relative to ship move ments has made it difficult to estimate the amount of cargo carried in the Eastern Sector of the Northern Sed Route during the 1952 navigation season. As explained in the introduction, it however, a method has been derived which makes such an estimate possible.

Twenty-four cargo vessels and 3 tankers, representing a total GT of 136,950; locargo-passenger ship; and lirefrigerator-passenger ship apparently operated in the Eastern Sector of the Route during 1952 (see Table 4 of Appendix C): Assuming that each ship in this sector carried 1-1/2 loads, * the GT available in the Eastern Sector of the Northern Sea Route during the 1952 navigation season was 274,000 tons, in addition to that of the cargo-passenger and the refrigerator-passenger vessels. **

3. Operations in the Western Sector of the Northern
Sea Route.

ang talan di kacamatan da ka

It is thought that 84 ships operated on the Western Sector of the Northern Sea Route during the 1952 navigation season.

^{*} For an explanation of the "1-1/2 load, " see p. 4. ** For an explanation of the methodology used in obtaining this figure, see Appendix B.

Included in this total are 24 cargo ships, 12 cargo passenger to 1 ships, 1 refrigerator-cargo passenger vessel, 1 tanker, 12.57 tugs, 1 icebreaking tug, 2 hydrographic ships, 1 training ship, d1 dredger, 4 river ships, 3 icebreakers, 9 lighters, and 23 non-Soviet Bloc cargo vessels under charter to the USSR.

In the Western Sector, sit is possible to determine; to a one limited extent, the movements of the wessels; with has been estimated that Soviet and non-Soviet Bloc cargo wessels; combined to carry a total minimum CT of 640,000; in addition to the CT of the 1 refrigerator-cargo-passenger and the 2 cargo-passenger vessels. (See Appendix B and Tables 5, 6, 7, and 8 of Appendix Cs) The types of cargo carried in the Western Sector included timbers coal, and diesel oil.

Twenty-three non-Soviet Bloc vessels under charter to the Russians were noted operating on the Western Sector of the Northern Sea Route during the 1952 navigation season. These included 15 Norwegian, 3 Panamanian, 2 British, 1 Greek, 1 Danish, and 1 Swedish. (See Table 7 of Appendix C.) This may be compared with a total of 10 such ships in 1951 and 17 in 1950: 14/

Six of the non-Soviet Bloc vessels (7,515 GT; 10,020 CT) called at Nar'yan Mar, while the remainder (17 vessels; 48,933 GT; 65,244 CT) loaded at Igarka (67930! N - 86935' E). With one exception, all of these non-Soviet Bloc ships are known to have carried timber from their loading ports. The outgoing cargo of the remaining ship is unknown, although it probably was timber. No ships were observed carrying cargo into the USSR. The cargo which these 23 ships carried, with the exception of one shipload to South Africa, went to either Belgium or England.

The chartering of so many non-Soviet Bloc wessels by the USSR may be a result of an increased number of Soviet ships we would be the order of bullets of the solution of the solutions.

^{*} Based on the formula for estimating CT. For an explanation of the methodology utilized in deriving the estimate of cargo carried, see Appendix B.

worked this area in the past.

under repair in Far Eastern shipyards. 15/ To compensate for the shortage thus created in the eastern Soviet merchant fleet, the Russians may have removed their own ships from the Western Sector of the Northern Sea Route for use in more sensitive areas and may have filled the resulting western vacancy by chartering additional non-Soviet Bloc vessels.

non-Soviet Bloc vessels have

The widespread use of tugs to tow lighters in the Western Sector deserves mention. This practice apparently began on its present scale in 1950, when 13 tugs were noted in operation. (See Table 1 on p. 6.) To insure a minimum estimate it has been assumed that, on each trip, each tug towed only 1 lighter (although these tugs often tow more than 1) with 3,000 tons of cargo (unless it was specifically indicated that the tug was proceeding in ballast). It is believed that this will give a minimum figure of cargo carried by these tugs. All observed references to the quantity of cargo carried by these lighters have been around 3,000 tons, and, in one instance, there was an indication that a tug towed more than one lighter. These tugs apparently moved a total of 177,000 tons of cargo.

The transfer of 4 self-propelled river vessels from the European USSR to the Lena River via the Western Sector of the Northern Sea Route -- the first time that the Northern Sea Route has been utilized for this purpose -- was an important event on the Route during the 1952 navigation season 16/

_Rus-

sians regarded this venture in a political right, as a means of exhorting the river workers to greater productivity. Its primary importance, however, lay in the utilization of the Northern Sea

Route as a means of transferring ships from shipyards in European USSR, where they were constructed, to their eastern destinations, where they were needed by the three river shipping agencies which operated on the Lena River: in 1952 14 By this method the Trans-Siberian Railroad would be relieved of the responsibility of transporting these river ships. UMAN STORES

III. Naval Operations on the Northern Sea, Route.

A group of approximately 14 possible T-43-class minesweepers and 2 submarines arrived in Pacific waters viao vocade the Northern Sea Route during the early part of September, 18/2 It is probable that 4 of these vessels were the minesweepers T-43; T-45; T-47; and T-48, all of which previously were a seed assigned to the Soviet Northern Fleet. 19/ These minesweepers may have been transferred to Far Eastern waters to fill a deer for ficiency in the Soviet Fifth and Seventh Fleets which resulted from the deterioration through constant use of the ex-US Admirable class minesweepers received under Lend Lease. 20/ At least 5 of the minesweepers moved into the Fifth Fleet waters, while the remainder apparently stayed in the Kamchatka area and may have been based at Petropavlovsk-Kamchatskiy (53°01' N - 158°39' E). 21/

នាសា 📆 មុខនៃមាន 😂 មា 🕹 និងក្រុម 👑 ស្នង 🖸 🥫 🔞 This transfer of approximately 14 naval vessels to the Far Eastern area via the Northern Sea Route is the most significant development in the naval history of the Northern Sea Route since the transfer in 1950 of 8 submarines and 2 depot ships from the Northern Fleet to the Seventh Fleet. The transfer in 1950 was made by 2 convoys of 5 ships each, 22/ whereas that of the 1952 navigation season was accomplished in one convoy. illustrating the ability of the Russians to transfer in larger groups at least the smaller-type auxiliary naval craft via the Northern Sea Route.

IV. Conclusions.

with the same of the same

The information contained in this report indicates that several previous estimates of amounts shipped on the Northern Seas and Res Route should be raised to The information also defines several

important characteristics of recent operations along the Northern Sea Route.

- 1. It is estimated that the Russians transported a minimum of approximately.

 1 million tons of cargo on the Northern.

 Sea Route during the 1952 navigation season, an increase over the previously estimated 300,000- to 600,000-ton capacity of the Route.
- 2. An increase in the estimate of the amount of cargo carried per season on the Northern Sea Route means an automatic increase in the estimate of the Arctic capabilities of the USSR.
 - 3. The Northern Sea Route apparently has been transformed into the "normal shipping lane" envisaged in the Fourth Five Year Plan. The number of ships operating on the Route apparently has been stabilized at approximately 150 per year.
 - 4. The Northern Sea Route probably has its primary use as a means of exploiting the Soviet Arctic rather than as an ocean-to-ocean shipping lane.
 - 5. The chartering of non-Soviet Bloc vessels by the Russians for service on the Northern Sea Route apparently has increased.
 - 6. The transfer of river vessels from European shipyards, via the Northern Sea Route, to Siberian river shipping agencies relieves the Trans-Siberian Railroad of the responsibility for transporting these river ships.

in large groups via the Northern Sea Route.

APPENDIX A

MERCHANT VESSELS WHICH TRAVELED THE COMPLETE LENGTH OF THE NORTHERN SEA ROUTE DURING THE 1952 NAVIGATION SEASON

Ship

Remarks

El'ton
 339 GT, Cargo

Left Murmansk at the end of July, was scheduled to arrive at Petropavlovsk-Kamchatskiy, Kamchatka, on 10 Sep. This ship was chartered by the Chief Directorate of the Northern Sea Route. 23/

- Ivan Polzunov
 7,176 GT, Cargo
- Kuznetskstroy
 2,981 GT, Cargo
- Sergey Kirov
 7,176 GT, Cargo

Departed Provideniya on 22 Oct. This ship was chartered by the Chief Directorate of the Northern Sea Route

5. Sivash 2,282 GT, Cargo

* * #·
Remarks
Departed Provideniya on 20 Oct. 28/
This ship was chartered by the Chief Directorate of the Northern Sea Route. 29/
En route Murmansk from Sweden on 11 Apr.
En route to Ostrov Dikson on 16 Aug.
It is possible that this ship traveled the Northern Sea Route in 1951;
It is assumed, that the Ayzber traveled the Route in 1952.

APPENDIX B

METHOD OF ESTIMATING PROBABLE MINIMUM CARGO

The statistics used in estimating the probable minimum amount of cargo carried on the Northern Sea Route during the 1952 navigation season are given in Appendix C. It should be noted that only 96 of the 102 cargo-carrying vessels which operated on the Northern Sea Route are included in the totals.* The GT of each ship is multiplied by the total number of observed voyages carrying cargo.** The several products are then added together to give a total GT for the 1952 navigation season. The total GT is then added to 1/3 of the total GT to obtain the CT. Certain other adjustments are made (in the case of the Western Sector, the tug CT is added; in the Eastern Sector, 1/2 load is added), *** and the resultant CT's of the 2 sectors are then added together to give the approximate minimum amount of cargo carried on the Northern Sea Route during the 1952 navigation season.

^{*} The other six vessels are cargo-passenger and refrigerator-passenger ships and are not included, because their cargo capacity is unknown. For a fuller explanation of the reasons for the non-inclusion of these ships, see the last 2 footnotes on p. 5.

^{**} In the case of the Eastern Sector, 1-1/2 voyages were assumed. For an explanation of this assumption, see p. 4.

*** For explanation, see p. 4.

PAGE

1-6

BLANK

APPENDIX C

STATISTICS FOR ESTIMATING PROBABLE MINIMUM CARGO 1952 NAVIGATION SEASON

Table 3

Complete Crossings

	*:.		೨೫ರೆಬಲ್	Edizolah (1.1)
·;		•	Number	Total GT
•			of 🔊 🗀	per
Ship	Туре	GT.	Voyages	n Ship
1. El'ton	Cargo	2,339	1	2,339
2. Ivan Polzunov	Cargo	7, 176 🗵	1	7, 17 6
3. Kuznetskstroy	Cargo	2,981	1	2,981
4. Sergey Kirov	Cargo	7, 176	1	7, 176
5. Sivash	Cargo	2,282	1	2, 282
6. Stalinabad	Cargo	7 , 176	1	7, 176
7. Sungari	Tanker	1,100	1	1,100
8. Valday	Cargo	1,342	1	1,342
-	Refrig-	27		* 4
No. 172	erator	N.A.	1	N.A.
	T.,	*		
Grand Total GT		•	·	31,572
1/3 Grand Total C	T	•		10,524
Grand Total CT		,		$\frac{42,096}{4}$ a/
·	#.A			

a. The CT of 42,096 does not include the CT of Refrigerator No. 172. For an explanation of this, see the last footnote on P. 5.

O Table MATTA

Eastern Sector -- Soviet Ships 31952

Ship	Ess Type	GT
1. Aleksandr Nevskiy	eor∂ Cargo ⊙	7, 176
	Cargo-Passenger	3,554
3. Arktika	Cargo	2,900
4. Askol'd	Cargo	7, 176
5. Azerbaydzhan	Tanker	6, 114
65 Baku sampai	Cargo	7, 176
7. Belomorkanal	Cargo	2,910
8. Dekabristney 7 2	Cargo	7,:176
9. Emel'yan Pugachev	Cargo	7, 176
10. Igarka	Cargo	2,900
11. "Kamenets Podol'sk	Cargo	212 mart 2
12. Kazan'	Cargo	2,713
13. Kingisepp	Cargo	2,325
14. Kolkhoznik (Saf.	Cargo	7, 194
15. Komsomol'sk	Cargo	2,920
16. Nakhodka	Cargo	7, 176
17. Nenets	Tanker	1,631
18. Neva	Cargo-Passenger	3, 113
19. Pinega	Cargo	2,325
20. Sergo	Tanker	7 , 596
21. Sevastopol	Cargo	7, 176
22. Shchors	Cargo	2,690
23. Stepan Razin	Cargo '	7, 176
24. Stepan Shaumyan	Cargo	1,237
25. Sukhona	Cargo	7,212
26. Uralmash	Cargo	2,975
27. Uritskiy	Cargo	2,336
28. Vladivostok	Cargo	7, 176
29. Zhan Zhores	Cargo	7,176

Table 4

Eastern Sector -- Soviet Ships 1952 (Continued)

Ship	Туре	GT
89% 4 1 2		्र <i>र्वे हें</i>
Grand Total GT	The second second	136,950
1/3 Grand Total GT 50		45,650
Total CT	**	182,600
1/2 Total CT	95 mg 3	91,300
Grand Total CT,	6.3 2.7	<u> </u>
Eastern Sector, Soviet	·	273,900 a/
Vessels		

a. The grand total CT of 273, 900 does not include the CT's of the cargo-passenger ships, Anadyr' and Neva. For an explanation of this, see the second footnote on p. 5.

Table 5
Western Sector 4 Soviet Ships
1952

Ship Type GT Voyages Ship		and the state of t	majo esta de la composition de la comp		Number	Total Co
Ship Type GT Voyages Ship			Mary N	:		Total GI
1. Akademik Komarov 2. Akademik Pavlov 3. Aleksändr Matrosov 4. Budennyy 5. Elets 6. Imandra 6. Imandra 7. Istra 7. Istra 8. Kapitan Gastello 9. Kirovograd 1. Lena 1. Lena 1. Lena 1. Lena 2. Lyuban 2. Lyuban 3. Mironych 4. Novosibirsk 5. Rzhev 6. Smolensk 6. Smolensk 6. Smolensk 6. Smolensk 6. Swoetskaya Gavan 7. Sochi 8. Sovetskaya Gavan 9. Sukhumi 1. Unzha 2. Cargo 2. Cargo 2. Cargo 2. Cargo 2. Cargo 2. Refrigerator- Cargo 3. Aleksändr Matrosov Cargo 3. Refrigerator- Cargo 3. Refrigerator- Cargo 3. Refrigerator- Cargo 3. Refrigerator- Cargo 4. 19. 26. 19. 26. 19. 27. 26. 19. 26. 26. 27. 26. 26. 26. 26. 26. 26. 26. 26. 26. 26		Ship	Type		Company of the second second	
2. Akademik Pavlov 3. Aleksandr Matrosov 4. Budennyy 5. Elets 6. Cargo 7. Istra 7. Istra 7. Istra 8. Kapitan Gastello 9. Kirovograd 1. Lena 1. Lena 1. Lena 1. Lena 1. Lyuban 1. Mironych 1. Movosibirsk 1. Rybev 1. Rybev 1. Rybev 1. Sochi 1. Sochi 1. Sochi 1. Sochi 1. Sochi 1. Sochi 1. Cargo 1. 194 1. Lena 1. L						
2. Akademik Pavlov 3. Aleksandr Matrosov 4. Budennyy 5. Elets 6. Cargo 7. Istra 7. Istra 7. Istra 8. Kapitan Gastello 9. Kirovograd 1. Lena 1. Lena 1. Lena 1. Lena 1. Lyuban 1. Mironych 1. Movosibirsk 1. Rybev 1. Rybev 1. Rybev 1. Sochi 1. Sochi 1. Sochi 1. Sochi 1. Sochi 1. Sochi 1. Cargo 1. 194 1. Lena 1. L	1.	Akademik Komarov	Cargo-Passenger	1.261	Amsel3 1\1	3.783
3. Aleksandr Matrosov 4. Budennyy Cargo 2, 482 2, 482 2, 4, 964 5. Elets Cargo 1, 174 2, 2, 348 6. Imandra Cargo 2, 282 7. Istra Cargo 6, 024 7, 42, 168 8. Kapitan Gastello Cargo 2, 283 2, 295 6, 13, 770 9. Kirovograd Cargo 2, 283 2, 5, 766 10. Kuban Cargo 7, 176 4 28, 704 11. Lena Cargo 1, 459 4 5, 836 12. Lyuban Cargo 1, 381 10 13, 810 3. Mironych Cargo 2, 274 2, 4, 548 4. Novosibirsk Cargo 5, 887 4 23, 548 5. Rzhev Cargo 1, 944 2, 3, 888 6. Smolensk Cargo 1, 944 2, 3, 888 6. Smolensk Cargo 1, 105 2, 2, 210 8. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 1, 105 2, 2, 210 8. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 1, 105 2, 2, 210 8. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 1, 105 2, 2, 210 8. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 1, 105 2, 2, 210 8. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 1, 105 2, 2, 210 8. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 1, 100 3, 4, 200 1. Unzha Cargo 1, 432 5, 7, 160 2. Volga Cargo 2, 847 2, 5, 694 3. Volokolamsk Cargo 2, 361 3, 7, 083 4. Vyatka Cargo-Passenger 1, 420 2, 2, 840 5. Vygozero Cargo 1, 398 4, 5, 592 7. Yukagir Tanker 1, 631 2, 3, 262 8. Yushar Refrigerator-Cargo 7, 176 7, 176 8, 5, 592 7, 176 8, 5, 592 7, 176 9, 13, 388 9, 13, 361 9, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20	2.					
4. Budennyy Cargo 2,482 2 4,964 5. Elets Cargo 1,174 2 2,348 6. Imandra Cargo 2,282 10 22,820 7. Istra Cargo 6,024 7 42,168 8. Kapitan Gastello Cargo 2,295 6 13,770 9. Kirovograd Cargo 2,883 2 5,766 10. Kuban Cargo 7,176 4 28,704 11. Lena Cargo 1,459 4 5,836 12. Lyuban Cargo 1,381 10 13,810 13. Mironych Cargo 2,274 2 4,548 14. Novosibirsk Cargo 5,887 4 23,548 15. Rzhev Cargo 1,944 2 3,888 16. Smolensk Cargo 1,944 2 3,888 16. Smolensk Cargo 1,944 2 3,888 17. Sochi Cargo 1,105 2 2,210 18. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 7,176 5 35,880 19. Sukhumi Cargo 2,682 2 5,364 10. Sura Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 11. Unzha Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 12. Volga Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 13. Vyatka Cargo 2,2847 2 5,694 14. Vyatka Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 15. Yygozero Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 17. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 18. Yushar Refrigerator-Cargo	3.	Aleksandr Matrosov				
5. Elets Cargo 1,174 2. 2,348 6. Imandra Cargo 2,282 -10. 22,820 7. Istra Cargo 6,024 7. 42,168 8. Kapitan Gastello Cargo 2,295 6 13,770 9. Kirovograd Cargo 2,883 2 5,766 10. Kuban Cargo 7,176 4 28,704 11. Lena Cargo 1,459 4 5,836 12. Lyuban Cargo 1,381 10 13,810 3. Mironych Cargo 2,274 2 4,548 4. Novosibirsk Cargo 5,887 4 23,548 5. Rzhev Cargo 1,944 2 3,888 6. Smolensk Cargo 1,923 2 3,846 7. Sochi Cargo 1,105 2 2,210 8. Sovetskaya Gavan Gargo 7,176 5 35,880 9. Sukhumi Cargo 2,682 2 5,364 0. Sura Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 1. Unzha Cargo 1,432 5 7,160 2. Volga Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 3. Volokolams	4.					
6. Imandra Cargo 2,282 10 22,820 7. Istra Cargo 6,024 7 42,168 8. Kapitan Gastello Cargo 2,295 6 13,770 9. Kirovograd Cargo 2,883 2 5,766 10. Kuban Cargo 7,176 4 28,704 11. Lena Cargo 1,459 4 5,836 12. Lyuban Cargo 1,381 10 13,810 13. Mironych Cargo 2,274 2 4,548 14. Novosibirsk Cargo 5,887 4 23,548 15. Rzhev Cargo 1,944 2 3,888 16. Smolensk Cargo 1,923 2 3,846 17. Sochi Cargo 1,105 2 2,210 18. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 1,105 2 2,210 18. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 19. Unzha Cargo 1,432 5 7,160 20. Sura Cargo 1,432 5 7,160 21. Unzha Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 23. Volokolamsk Cargo 2,2847 2 5,694 24. Vyatka Cargo 2,282 8 18,256 25. Vygozero Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 25. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 28. Yushar Refrigerator-Cargo-	5.	• • •	-			· - ·
7. Istra Cargo 6,024 7 42,168 8. Kapitan Gastello Cargo 2,295 6 13,770 9. Kirovograd Cargo 2,883 2 5,766 10. Kuban Cargo 7,176 4 28,704 11. Lena Cargo 1,459 4 5,836 12. Lyuban Cargo 1,381 10 13,810 13. Mironych Cargo 2,274 2 4,548 4. Novosibirsk Cargo 5,887 4 23,548 5. Rzhev Cargo 1,944 2 3,888 6. Smolensk Cargo 1,923 2 3,846 7. Sochi Cargo 1,105 2 2,210 8. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 7,176 5 35,880 9. Sukhumi Cargo 2,682 2 5,364 0. Sura Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 1. Unzha Cargo 1,432 5 7,160 2. Volga Cargo 2,847 2 5,694	6.	and the second of the second o				
8. Kapitan Gastello Cargo 2,295 6 13,770 9. Kirovograd Cargo 2,883 2 5,766 10. Kuban Cargo 7,176 4 28,704 11. Lena Cargo 1,459 4 5,836 12. Lyuban Cargo 1,381 10 13,810 13. Mironych Cargo 2,274 2 4,548 4. Novosibirsk Cargo 5,887 4 23,548 5. Rzhev Cargo 1,944 2 3,888 6. Smolensk Cargo 1,923 2 3,846 7. Sochi Cargo 1,105 2 2,210 8. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 7,176 5 35,880 9. Sukhumi Cargo 2,682 2 5,364 0. Sura Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 1. Unzha Cargo 1,432 5 7,160 2. Volga Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 3. Volokolamsk Cargo 2,282 8 18,256	7.	Istra	** ,			-
9. Kirovograd	•				6	-
10. Kuban Cargo 7,176 4 28,704 11. Lena Cargo 1,459 4 5,836 12. Lyuban Cargo 1,381 10 13,810 13. Mironych Cargo 2,274 2 4,548 4. Novosibirsk Cargo 5,887 4 23,548 5. Rzhev Cargo 1,944 2 3,888 6. Smolensk Cargo 1,923 2 3,846 7. Sochi Cargo 1,105 2 2,210 8. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 7,176 5 35,880 9. Sukhumi Cargo 2,682 2 5,364 0. Sura Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 1. Unzha Cargo 1,432 5 7,160 2. Volga Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 3. Volokolamsk Cargo 2,361 3 7,083 4. Vyatka Cargo 2,282 8 18,256 6. Vytegra Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 7. Y	9.				2	
11. Lena Cargo 1,459 4 5,836 12. Lyuban Cargo 1,381 10 13,810 13. Mironych Cargo 2,274 2 4,548 14. Novosibirsk Cargo 5,887 4 23,548 15. Rzhev Cargo 1,944 2 3,888 16. Smolensk Cargo 1,923 2 3,846 17. Sochi Cargo 1,105 2 2,210 18. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 7,176 5 35,880 19. Sukhumi Cargo 2,682 2 5,364 10. Sura Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 11. Unzha Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 12. Volga Cargo 1,432 5 7,160 22. Volga Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 33. Volokolamsk Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 44. Vyatka Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 45. Vygozero Cargo 2,282 8 18,256 46. Vytegra Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 76. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 78. Yushar Refrigerator-Cargo-	10.					A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
2. Lyuban Cargo 1,381 10 13,810 3. Mironych Cargo 2,274 2 4,548 4. Novosibirsk Cargo 5,887 4 23,548 5. Rzhev Cargo 1,944 2 3,888 6. Smolensk Cargo 1,923 2 3,846 7. Sochi Cargo 1,105 2 2,210 8. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 7,176 5 35,880 9. Sukhumi Cargo 2,682 2 5,364 0. Sura Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 1. Unzha Cargo 1,432 5 7,160 2. Volga Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 3. Volokolamsk Cargo 2,361 3 7,083 4. Vyatka Cargo-Passenger 1,420 2 2,840 5. Vygozero Cargo 2,282 8 18,256 6. Vytegra Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 7. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262	11.	Lena	_	=	4	-
3. Mironych Cargo 2,274 2 4,548 4. Novosibirsk Cargo 5,887 4 23,548 5. Rzhev Cargo 1,944 2 3,888 6. Smolensk Cargo 1,923 2 3,846 7. Sochi Cargo 1,105 2 2,210 8. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 7,176 5 35,880 9. Sukhumi Cargo 2,682 2 5,364 0. Sura Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 1. Unzha Cargo 1,432 5 7,160 2. Volga Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 3. Volokolamsk Cargo 2,361 3 7,083 4. Vyatka Cargo-Passenger 1,420 2 2,840 5. Vygozero Cargo 2,282 8 18,256 6. Vytegra Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 7. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 8. Yushar Refrigerator-Cargo-	12.	Lyuban	_			-
4. Novosibirsk Cargo 5,887 4 23,548 5. Rzhev Cargo 1,944 2 3,888 6. Smolensk Cargo 1,923 2 3,846 7. Sochi Cargo 1,105 2 2,210 8. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 7,176 5 35,880 9. Sukhumi Cargo 2,682 2 5,364 0. Sura Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 1. Unzha Cargo 1,432 5 7,160 2. Volga Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 3. Volokolamsk Cargo 2,361 3 7,083 4. Vyatka Cargo-Passenger 1,420 2 2,840 5. Vygozero Cargo 2,282 8 18,256 6. Vytegra Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 7. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 8. Yushar Refrigerator-Cargo-	13.	• ,		=		- ·
55. Rzhev Cargo 1,944 2 3,888 6. Smolensk Cargo 1,923 2 3,846 7. Sochi Cargo 1,105 2 2,210 8. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 7,176 5 35,880 9. Sukhumi Cargo 2,682 2 5,364 0. Sura Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 1. Unzha Cargo 1,432 5 7,160 2. Volga Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 3. Volokolamsk Cargo 2,361 3 7,083 4. Vyatka Cargo-Passenger 1,420 2 2,840 5. Vygozero Cargo 2,282 8 18,256 6. Vytegra Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 7. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 8. Yushar Refrigerator-Cargo-Cargo-Cargo 1,631 2 3,262	14.	<u> </u>	~			-
6. Smolensk Cargo I,923 2 3,846 7. Sochi Cargo 1,105 2 2,210 8. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 7,176 5 35,880 9. Sukhumi Cargo 2,682 2 5,364 0. Sura Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 1. Unzha Cargo 1,432 5 7,160 2. Volga Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 3. Volokolamsk Cargo 2,361 3 7,083 4. Vyatka Cargo-Passenger 1,420 2 2,840 5. Vygozero Cargo 2,282 8 18,256 6. Vytegra Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 7. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 8. Yushar Refrigerator-Cargo-	15.	Rzhev	•	•		•
7. Sochi Cargo 1,105 2 2,210 8. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 7,176 5 35,880 9. Sukhumi Cargo 2,682 2 5,364 0. Sura Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 1. Unzha Cargo 1,432 5 7,160 2. Volga Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 3. Volokolamsk Cargo 2,361 3 7,083 4. Vyatka Cargo-Passenger 1,420 2 2,840 5. Vygozero Cargo 2,282 8 18,256 6. Vytegra Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 7. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 8. Yushar Refrigerator-Cargo-	16.	Smolensk	_	-		-
8. Sovetskaya Gavan Cargo 7,176 5 35,880 9. Sukhumi Cargo 2,682 2 5,364 0. Sura Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 1. Unzha Cargo 1,432 5 7,160 2. Volga Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 3. Volokolamsk Cargo 2,361 3 7,083 4. Vyatka Cargo-Passenger 1,420 2 2,840 5. Vygozero Cargo 2,282 8 18,256 6. Vytegra Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 7. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 8. Yushar Refrigerator-Cargo-			•			_
9. Sukhumi Cargo 2,682 2 5,364 0. Sura Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 1. Unzha Cargo 1,432 5 7,160 2. Volga Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 3. Volokolamsk Cargo 2,361 3 7,083 4. Vyatka Cargo-Passenger 1,420 2 2,840 5. Vygozero Cargo 2,282 8 18,256 6. Vytegra Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 7. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 8. Yushar Refrigerator-Cargo-	18.	Sovetskaya Gavan	_			
0. Sura Cargo 1,400 3 4,200 1. Unzha Cargo 1,432 5 7,160 2. Volga Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 3. Volokolamsk Cargo 2,361 3 7,083 4. Vyatka Cargo-Passenger 1,420 2 2,840 5. Vygozero Cargo 2,282 8 18,256 6. Vytegra Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 7. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 8. Yushar Refrigerator-Cargo- Cargo- 1,631 2 3,262	19.		-			
1. Unzha Cargo 1,432 5 7,160 2. Volga Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 3. Volokolamsk Cargo 2,361 3 7,083 4. Vyatka Cargo-Passenger 1,420 2 2,840 5. Vygozero Cargo 2,282 8 18,256 6. Vytegra Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 7. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 8. Yushar Refrigerator-Cargo-	20.	Sura	•	-		•••
2. Volga Cargo 2,847 2 5,694 3. Volokolamsk Cargo 2,361 3 7,083 4. Vyatka Cargo-Passenger 1,420 2 2,840 5. Vygozero Cargo 2,282 8 18,256 6. Vytegra Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 7. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 8. Yushar Refrigerator-Cargo-	21.	Unzha	_			
3. Volokolamsk Cargo 2,361 3 7,083 4. Vyatka Cargo-Passenger 1,420 2 2,840 5. Vygozero Cargo 2,282 8 18,256 6. Vytegra Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 7. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 8. Yushar Refrigerator- Cargo-	22.	Volga	_	-		
4. Vyatka Cargo-Passenger 1,420 2 2,840 5. Vygozero Cargo 2,282 8 18,256 6. Vytegra Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 7. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 8. Yushar Refrigerator- Cargo-	23.	•	-			
5. Vygozero Cargo 2,282 8 18,256 6. Vytegra Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 7. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 8. Yushar Refrigerator- Cargo-	24.		_		2	-
6. Vytegra Cargo 1,398 4 5,592 7. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 8. Yushar Refrigerator- Cargo-	25.	-			8	
7. Yukagir Tanker 1,631 2 3,262 8. Yushar Refrigerator- Cargo-	26.		_			-
8. Yushar Refrigerator- Cargo-	27.		_			
Cargo-	28.	•	· · · - -			•,
			-			
			<u> </u>	1,262	4	5,048

- 2,2: -

Table 5

Western Sector ** Soviet Ships 1952

(Continued)

Ship		Туре	GT	Number sof	Total GT
	 	06 . -		Voyages	Ship
Grand Total GT	·				290,636
1/3 Grand Total	GT 🔆	1 Mat 1	- 2		96,878
Total CT	:				387, 514
Tug CT a/		Page 1	• •		177,000
Grand Total CT,	, .			•	d .
Western Sector	,	S. 1.			••
Soviet Vessels	•			5	564,514 b/
;					

a. For computation of this figure, see p. 24.

b. The grand total CT of 564,514 does not include the CT's of the cargo-passenger ships, Akademik Komarov, Vyatka, and Yushar. For an explanation of this, see the second footnote on p. 5.

Table 67
Western Sector ** Soviet Tugs.

1952

	يا معلى المراجعة المر	Number	Total CT
ಗ <i>ಕರುಣ್ಣ</i> ಗ		of	per
Tug	CT	Voyages	Tug
\$694Y0V	- भन्द्र		gad8
1. Chesha	3,000	ī	3,000
2. Gerkules	3,000	5	15,000
3. Kamenka	3,000	8	.:: 24, 000 :
4. Mgla	3,000	6	18,000
5. Nakat	3,000	6	18,000
6. Olenek	3,000	1	3,000
7. Ponoy	3,000	8	24,000
8. Priboy	3,000	7	2:1,000
9. Ruslan	3,000	2	6,000
10. Sannikov	3,000	2	6,000
11. Stalinets	3,000	# T 1 8	24,000
12. Vikhr_		±;;:	و به شاه 1000 ر 6
13. Volna	3,000	3	9,000
Total CT, Tugs			177,000

Table 7
Western Sector - Non-Soviet Bloc Ships
1952

				Number	Total GT
	Ship	Registry	GT	Voyages	Ship
1.	and the second s	Norwegian	1,304	1	1,304
2.	Belevelyn	Norwegian	4,696	1	4,696
3.	Dimitrios A. Kydoniefs	Greek	4,862	1	4,862
4.	Eleni	Panamanian	2,480	. 1	2,480
5.	Eletric	Panamanian	4,963	1	4,963
6.	Grana	Norwegian	1,297	1	1,297
7.	Greathope	British	2,328	1	2,328
8.	Gudrid	Norwegian	1,303	1	1,303
9.	Gudvor	Norwegian	2,288	1 .	2,288
10.	Haverton Hill	British	7, 151	1	7,151
11.	Hegra	Norwegian	2,063	1	2,063
12.	Hellenic Chryssoula	Panamanian	1,484	1	1,484
13.	Hildur I	Norwegian	1,512	I.	1,512
14.	Jane Lanng	Danish	2,725	1	2,725
15.	Mildrid	Norwegian	2,055	I	2,055
16.	Ocean Swell	Norwegian	1,832	1	1,832
17.	Rita	Norwegian	1,686	1	1,686
18.	Royksund	Norwegian	977	1	977
19	0	Norwegian	3,989	1	3,989
20.	Siak	Norwe'gian	1,150	1	1,150
21.	Taberg	Swedish	2,120	1	2,120
22.	Tarva	Norwegian	2,183	1	2,183
23.	Taura <u>a</u> /*	Norwegian	N.A.	1	N.A.
	Grand Total 1/3 Grand T Grand Total	otal GT			56,448 18,816 75,264 75,000

^{*} Footnote for Table 7 follow on p. 26.

Table 7

Western Sector (Non-Soviet Bloc Ships 1952 'Continued)

a. The GT for this ship cannot be located. Apparently Taura is a garbled name for a ship, probably not the Tarva, which called at Igarka. Therefore, while the Taura is included in the total number of ships, its GT is not included.

Table 8

Totals of Cargo Tonnages 1952

	Sector	Grand Total
1.	Western Sector, Soviet	
	Ships	564,514
2.	Western Sector, non-Soviet	· **
	Bloc	75, 264
3.	Total Western Sector	639, 778
4.	Eastern Sector	273,900
5.	Complete Crossings	42,096
	Total Minimum CT on the	
	Northern Sea Route dur-	
	ing the 1952 Navigation	
	Season	955,774 a/or
		956,000

a. The total minimum CT of 955, 774 does not include the CT's of Refrigerator No. 172 and the 5 passenger-cargo ships mentioned in the footnotes to Tables 4 and 5. For an explanation of this, see the last two footnotes on p. 5.

BLANK
PAGE

APPENDIX

- 29-